N THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
STATE OF WASHINGTON,	No. 74468-2-I
Respondent,	NO. 74408-2-1
V.	DIVISION ONE
LAWRENCE DUNBAR SMALLEY,	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
Appellant.	FILED: April 24, 2017

LEACH, J. — Lawrence Smalley appeals his conviction for second degree assault—domestic violence. He argues that we must remand this case for the trial court to enter written CrR 3.5 findings of fact and conclusions of law. Because the trial court belatedly entered the findings and conclusions and Smalley has not alleged any prejudice from their delayed entry, he fails to demonstrate grounds for relief.<sup>1</sup>

2017 APR 24 AM 10:

Smalley also claims as an additional ground for relief that the trial court violated his speedy trial rights, citing <u>Vermont v. Brillon</u>.<sup>2</sup> Apart from naming that case, Smalley makes no argument for relief. "[T]he appellate court will not consider a defendant's statement of additional grounds for review if it does not inform the court of the nature and occurrence of alleged errors."<sup>3</sup> And except in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>State v. Cannon</u>, 130 Wn.2d 313, 329, 922 P.2d 1293 (1996) ("Although the practice of submitting late findings and conclusions is disfavored, they may be 'submitted and entered even while an appeal is pending' if the defendant is not prejudiced by the belated entry of findings." (quoting <u>State v. McGary</u>, 37 Wn. App. 856, 861, 683 P.2d 1125 (1984))); <u>State v. Gaddy</u>, 114 Wn. App. 702, 705, 60 P.3d 116 (2002), <u>aff'd</u>, 152 Wn.2d 64, 93 P.3d 872 (2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 556 U.S. 81, 129 S. Ct. 1283, 173 L. Ed. 2d 231 (2009). <sup>3</sup> RAP 10.10(c).

certain cases not applicable here, "the appellate court is not obligated to search the record in support of claims made in a defendant's statement of additional grounds for review."<sup>4</sup> We therefore decline to address the merits of Smalley's additional ground.

Affirmed.

each

WE CONCUR:

<sup>4</sup> RAP 10.10(c).